Docket No.: 2870-0277PUS1

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending. No new matter has been added by way of the present

submission. For instance, claims 1-4 have been amended to indicate the carbon nanotube in

contact with the organic material does not directly contact the metal as supported by figures 1-3

and 5 as well as the present specification at page 14, lines 8-11. Accordingly, no new matter has

been added.

In view of the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

withdraw all rejections and allow the currently pending claims.

Restriction Requirement and Request for Rejoinder

The Examiner has withdrawn claims 3-20 from further consideration asserting that they

relate to a non-elected species. Applicants respectfully disagree and request that the Examiner

reconsider. Applicants point out that the inventive aspect of the elected invention relates to the

subject matter of claim 1. Moreover, even though the language of other claims (for instance

independent claims 3 and 4) may differ, each of these claims essentially requires a carbon

nanotube in contact with an organic material having a six-membered carbon ring and a metal in

contact with a part of the carbon nanotube. Accordingly, based upon this related subject matter,

Applicants submit that the Examiner should expand the consideration of the claims to claims

1-19. Therefore, rejoinder in this regard is respectfully requested.

<u>Issues under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)</u>

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by

Tsukamoto et al., U.S. Publication No. 2004/0241900 A1 (hereinafter referred to as Tsukamoto

'900), or Lyons et al., USP 6.825,060 B1 (hereinafter referred to as Lyons '060). Applicants

respectfully traverse these rejections.

The Present Invention and its Advantages

The carbon nanotube of the present invention acts to solve the problem concerning the

large contact resistance at the interface between the organic material and the metal electrode. In

effect, the carbon nanotube according to the present invention forms a bridge between the

organic material (channel) and the metal (electrode region) and thus provides better contact. A

review of the specification reveals that the carbon nanotube in contact with the organic material

does not contact the metal. That is, the organic material and the metal are not in direct contact

with one another.

Distinctions Between the Present Invention and the Cited Art

In Tsukamoto '900 the carbon nanotubes are dispersed within the organic material which

is in contact with the metal electrode. Thus, there is direct contact between the metal electrode

and the organic material of Tsukamoto '900. However, the present claims require that the

organic material and the metal do not directly contact each other. Therefore, there exists no

anticipation based upon Tsukamoto '900.

Additionally, Applicants further point out that a passive layer intervening between the

organic layer and the electrode is outside of the scope of the present claims in that the passive

layer would prevent the carbon nanotube (for instance in contact with the organic material) from

7

contacting the metal.

Concerning Lyons '060, Applicants submit that Lyons '060 also discloses an organic

MSW/CAM/py

Docket No.: 2870-0277PUS1

Application No. 10/808,333

Amendment dated October 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action of June 30, 2006

layer containing an organic material and carbon nanotubes. Thus, the above distinctions

discussed with respect to Tsukamoto '900, are equally applicable to the disclosure of Lyons

'060.

In summary, Applicants submit that there is no anticipation of the present claims based

upon either Tsukamoto '900 or Lyons '060. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to

withdraw all rejections and allow the currently pending claims.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, please contact Craig A. McRobbie,

Registration No 42,874 at the offices of Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for

any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of

time fees.

Dated: October 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Marc S. Weiner

Registration No.: 32,181

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

MSW/CAM/py

Docket No.: 2870-0277PUS1

8